[19th May 1954

Including the loans from the Government of India obtained in the second half of 1953–54, the outstanding debt will be about Rs. 59½ crores as shown below :—

	RS. (CRORES).
(i) Open Market Loans	23
(ii) Loans from the Central Government ...	36½
Total ..	59½

The amount of interest payable is about Rs. 2 crores per annum.''

Supply of electricity to Karambakkudy area in Pudukkottai.

* 957 *Q.*—SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have received representations from the public of Karambakkudy in Pudukkottai division of Tiruchirappalli district for the supply of electricity to that area; and

(*b*) if so, the action taken thereon?

THE HON. RAJA SRI SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI :—'' (*a*) Representations were received in 1951 from the Village Progressive Association, Karambakkudy.

'' (*b*) The scheme was investigated by the licensee, South Madras Electric Supply Corporation, Limited, who reported that the estimated cost of the scheme worked out to Rs. 2,10,200 with a gross revenue of 7 per cent on the capital expenditure as against 15 per cent generally required. The interested parties were requested to contact the licensee for further action in the matter as the licensee had promised to do the needful. It has been reported that the parties have not so far contacted the licensee.''

Damages to paddy crop due to pest in Kancheepuram taluk.

* 958 *Q.*—SRI V. K. RAMASWAMI MUDALIAR : Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether in the Kancheepuram taluk there has been devastation of paddy crops on a large scale due to pest;

(*b*) whether any report on this has been received from the Collector of Chingleput; and

(*c*) if so, whether the Government will be pleased to place a copy of it on the table of the House?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—'' (*a*) Early in November 1953, it was brought to the notice of the Government that paddy crops were affected by pests in the Kancheepuram taluk and also in Madurantakam and Chingleput taluks of the Chingleput district.''

19th May 1954]

" (*b*) Yes, a report from the Collector was received.

" (*c*) Relevant extracts from the report [a] are placed on the table of the House."

SRI V. K. RAMASWAMI MUDALIAR :—" Does the Hon. Minister think that the steps taken by the Department were quite adequate and in time? "

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" I do think so."

Appointment of Second-class Magistrates.

* 959 *Q.*—SRI V. GOPALA GOUNDER : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(*a*) how many names were selected and submitted by the High Court to the Government for appointment as Second-class Magistrates;

(*b*) how many of them are—

(1) from the High Court (Ministerial Service),

(2) from Assistant Public Prosecutors in the mufassal,

(3) from the Bar, and

(4) from the Ministerial Judicial Service in the mufassal;

(*c*) whether any exemption was applied for by the High Court for any of the candidates selected by it for appointment and if so, what action was taken by the Government; and

(*d*) how many candidates applied for selection as Second-class Magistrates from service (both from the High Court and the mufassal) and how many were interviewed?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" (*a*) 64 (23 by the High Court and 41 by the Madras Public Service Commission).

" (*b*) (1) 5

(2) 8

(3) 41

(4) 1

The remaining 9 candidates were selected by the High Court from the Madras Revenue Subordinate Service (4), upper division clerks in the Madras Ministerial Service (3) and the Secretariat Service (2).

" (*c*) The High Court applied for exemption in favour of 8 candidates. The Government relaxed rules in favour of 7.

" (*d*) 90 candidates applied (18 from the High Court and 72 from other Departments of Government). 45 candidates were interviewed (5 from the High Court and 40 from other Departments)."

[a] **Printed as Appendix I on page 460 infra.**